Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/825,279	KUEGLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emmanuel L. Moise	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31:	is (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication BIGHTS. This application is subject t	oplication. If not included	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment received</u>	<u>d on May 26, 2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> .			
3.  The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	er.		
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u <ul> <li>a)  All</li> <li>b)  Some* c)  None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply	national stage application from the	
<ul> <li>THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal patent application (PTO-152) which give</li> </ul>	nitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	t'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient.	
<ul> <li>6.  ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date May 2 (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in to 7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposite page 1.</li> </ul>	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 6, 2004. s Amendment / Comment or in the C .84(c)) should be written on the drawin he header according to 37 CFR 1.121( sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	Office action of  ngs in the front (not the back) of d).  nust be submitted. Note the	
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	AL MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Motice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail Dat	Paper No./Mail Date  7.  Examiner's Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date		ent of Reasons for Allowance	
BEST AVAILABL		Emmaruel L. Moise Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2136	

Application/Control Number: 09/825,279

Art Unit: 2136

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

- 2. In the specification,
  - On page 4, in line 3, "53" has been replaced with -55--.
- 3. In the drawings,

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claims 1-3 and 5-6, prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing a digital circuitry through effecting a paired data loop-back from a first buffered output to a first buffered input while executing at least part of the test through using a Built-In-Self-Test methodology. In particular, the loop-back is effected from the first buffered data output to a buffered control input, from a buffered control output to the first buffered input, or both. Neither does prior art of record teach or fairly suggest that the buffering is associated to executing a conversion between a digital full swing internal signal and an analog low swing signal with respect to core circuitry of the digital circuitry.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/825,279

Art Unit: 2136

1

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

- In the specification,On page 4, in line 3, "53" has been replaced with -55--.
- 3. In the drawings,

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claims 1-3 and 5-6, prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing a digital circuitry through effecting a paired data loop-back from a first buffered output to a first buffered input while executing at least part of the test through using a Built-In-Self-Test methodology. In particular, the loop-back is effected from the first buffered data output to a buffered control input, from a buffered control output to the first buffered input, or both. Neither does prior art of record teach or fairly suggest that the buffering is associated to executing a conversion between a digital full swing internal signal and an analog low swing signal with respect to core circuitry of the digital circuitry.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY